

# **EXHIBIT 3**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

GOOGLE, INC.,

Defendant.

)

)

)

)

)

)Case No.:

)3:10-cv-03561-WHA

)

)

)

)

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF DOUGLAS SCHMIDT, Ph.D.  
San Francisco, California  
Friday, March 4, 2016  
Volume 1

Reported by:

RACHEL FERRIER, CSR No. 6948

Job No. CS2265293

1 place that I worked with experts was from a place called  
2 Keystone.

3 Q And who -- who are these experts that you worked  
4 with from Keystone?

5 A So I don't remember everybody's name, but there  
6 were a few people. Rohit Chatterdee -- Chatterjee is  
7 one, and Greg Richards is another, and Anon -- I never  
8 can remember his last name -- he was one.

9 Q Were there any more besides those three?

10 A Those -- those are the main ones I worked with.

11 Q Craig Richardson, you said?

12 A No. Greg Richards.

13 Q Oh, Greg Richards. Thank you.

14 And you said the team of experts that you worked  
15 with came -- well, my understanding is there were other  
16 experts besides those from Keystone; is that correct?

17 MR. RAMSEY: Objection; form.

18 THE WITNESS: I don't quite understand the  
19 question.

20 MR. KAMBER: Sure.

21 Q I -- I thought you said before that you met with  
22 different teams of experts and that one of those teams  
23 was from Keystone?

24 A Oh, I don't know where all the experts were from.

25 Q What other -- besides the three names that you

1 just provided me from Keystone, did you meet with any  
2 other experts in preparation for your deposition today?

3 A In preparation for the deposition today. So I  
4 think there was one other person from Keystone.

5 Q But you don't remember that person's name?

6 A No.

7 Q Have you been working with Keystone throughout  
8 this -- your involvement in this litigation?

9 A So my involvement in the litigation started  
10 around the end of November in 2015, and they are the  
11 ones who hired me.

12 Q Have you worked with any of the Keystone folks  
13 before?

14 A No.

15 Q And what were these -- what was this team of  
16 experts from Keystone doing for -- to help you for  
17 purposes of this litigation?

18 A So, as you can imagine, there's a lot of  
19 different things that went into my various reports, and  
20 so they helped out with some of the aspects, under my  
21 direction, in order to be able to do certain things like  
22 create tables or be able to do various kinds of  
23 experiments and so on, under my direction.

24 Q With respect to the experiments that were done --  
25 well, I guess, perhaps, as we go through your report, we

1 materials considered from any of the three reports?

2 A Yes.

3 Q Which documents?

4 A So I went through the expert reports from some of  
5 the Google experts and read various documents that they  
6 cited in their reports.

7 Q Did you review the reply reports provided by  
8 Google's experts?

9 A I reviewed several of them, one in particular.

10 Q Which one?

11 A The one by Dr. Astrachan.

12 Q Did you review Mr. Hall's reply report?

13 A No.

14 Q Having reviewed Dr. Astrachan's reply report,  
15 does it change your opinions in any way?

16 A The opinions in my report?

17 Q Yes.

18 A I've done some additional investigation based on  
19 some of the material in his report, but it hasn't  
20 changed my opinions.

21 Q What investigation have you done in response to  
22 seeing Dr. Astrachan's reply report?

23 A I looked more carefully at the relationship  
24 between Java SE and Java ME.

25 Q And what -- what, in particular, did you look at

1 with respect to the relationship between Java SE and  
2 Java ME?

3 A The degree of overlap between the declaring code.

4 Q What degree of overlap did you find in terms of  
5 declaring code between Java SE and Java ME?

6 A So for the 37 copied API packages in question in  
7 the case, it's my understanding that there's roughly 800  
8 classes and interfaces. I think the exact number might  
9 be something like 792, but it's -- it's around that --  
10 that number.

11 And so I went and looked at Java ME and looked at  
12 the number of classes that were in this so-called  
13 connected device configuration, or the CDC, and found  
14 that there was a large amount of overlap between the  
15 declaring code that was in the 37 API packages and  
16 what's in Java ME CDC.

17 Q When you say a large degree of overlap, can you  
18 be more specific in terms of the -- the quantification?

19 A Sure.

20 So there's roughly 200 -- 300, 296-ish classes  
21 and interfaces that are part of the Java ME CDC, and of  
22 those number, I believe it's something on the order of  
23 290, 291 are the same classes and interfaces that are in  
24 the 37 copied API packages. And of the 291, it's --  
25 it's my understanding, after analyzing the declaring

1 code similarities, that there's roughly 277 of those --  
2 of the classes with the same declaring code as -- as in  
3 the 37 copied API packages, roughly, give or take.

4 Q Based on the analysis that you did, are the --  
5 are these classes in Java ME organized by way of the  
6 same structure, sequence, and organization as in  
7 Java SE?

8 A Yes. The declaring code of the two is -- is the  
9 same.

10 Q So the -- to -- to shorthand this, the SSO of the  
11 declaring code that you found to be in common between  
12 Java SE and Java ME is the same?

13 A That's my understanding, yeah, based on the  
14 analysis that I did.

15 Q And did you do that analysis, or was it done for  
16 you by somebody from Keystone?

17 A So I did it in conjunction with someone at  
18 Keystone.

19 Q Who at Keystone did -- helped you with that  
20 analysis?

21 A I believe that was Anon.

22 Q Have you spoken with any Oracle employees in  
23 connection with this case?

24 A Yes.

25 Q Which Oracle employees?

1  
2  
3  
4 I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand  
5 Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify:

6 That the foregoing proceedings were taken before  
7 me at the time and place herein set forth; that any  
8 witnesses in the foregoing proceedings, prior to  
9 testifying, were placed under oath; that a verbatim  
10 record of the proceedings was made by me using machine  
11 shorthand which was thereafter transcribed under my  
12 direction; further, that the foregoing is an accurate  
13 transcription thereof.

14 I further certify that I am neither financially  
15 interested in the action nor a relative or employee of  
16 any attorney or any of the parties.

17 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date subscribed  
18 my name.

19  
20 Dated: March 7, 2016

21  
22   
23

24 RACHEL FERRIER

25 CSR No. 6948